UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,875	06/27/2005	Koji Watari	274378US3XPCT	1739
22850 7590 05/17/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			KASTLER, SCOTT R	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1742		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERÝ MODE
			05/17/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/540,875	WATARI ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Scott Kastler	1742
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vore to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be swill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. JED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p	
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>6</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o		
Applicat	ion Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ntion No ved in this National Stage
2) Notice 3) Inform	tt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) cmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) cer No(s)/Mail Date 10/26/05.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 1742

Claim Objections

Claim 6 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim has not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over European Patent 1,219,580 (EP'580) in view of Japanese 09-221367 (JP'367). EP'580 teaches a ceramic member configured as either a sample holder, rotor or shaft (8) which may be made of ceramics (see paragraph [0024] for example) showing all aspects of the above claims except to specifically show that the ceramic employed is a conductive silicon carbide ceramic. JP'367, in the English language translation for example, teaches that for high temperature or extreme physical environments, such as the environment which the sample holder and rotary shaft of EP'580 are subjected to, conductive silicon carbide ceramics were known in the art at the time the invention was made as desirable ceramic materials. Because EP'580 desires the use of some, unspecified type of ceramic material for the sample holder and rotary shaft (8) of it's apparatus, and JP'367 teaches that conductive silicon carbide ceramics are preferred materials for use in environments of the type experienced by the apparatus of EP'580, motivation to employ conductive silicon

Art Unit: 1742

carbide ceramics as taught by JP'367 as the ceramic material for the sample holder or rotary shaft of EP'580 would have been a modification obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. European Patent Application 0,309,272 is also cited as a further example of prior art ceramic silicon carbide sample holders.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Kastler whose telephone number is (571) 272-1243. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,875 Page 4

Art Unit: 1742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Scott Kastler Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742

sk